## For the Northern District of California

24

25

26

27

28

13, 2012 is vacated.

## 1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Case No. C09-05386 JCS 7 Plaintiff, **ORDER GRANTING AUGME'S** MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND 8 v. INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS, AND GRANTING YAHOO!'S CROSS-9 YAHOO!, INC., MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND **INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS [Docket** 10 Defendant. Nos. 240 and 245] 11 12 13 14 15 16 On March 12, 2012, Plaintiff Augme Technologies, Inc. ("Augme"), filed a Motion for 17 Leave to Amend Infringement Contentions ("Motion"). See Dkt. No. 240. Specifically, Augme 18 moves for leave to amend its Patent L.R. 3-1 Infringement Contentions "to incorporate additional 19 information from the confidential source code, documents and deposition testimony recently 20 provided by Yahoo!, Inc.." Motion at 1. On March 22, 2012, Defendant Yahoo!, Inc. ("Yahoo!") 21 filed an opposition to Augme's Motion as well as a Cross-Motion for Leave to Amend Invalidity 22 Contentions ("Cross-Motion"). See Dkt. No. 245. Yahoo!'s amendments "primarily disclose 23 additional page citations within previously-identified references, and add three new references."

Cross-Motion at 12. Augme opposes Yahoo!'s Cross-Motion. See Augme's Reply in Support of its

Motion for Leave to Amend Infringement Contentions and in Opposition to Yahoo!'s Cross-Motion,

Dkt. No. 251. The Court finds that the Motion and Cross-Motion are suitable for determination

without oral argument, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7–1(b). Accordingly, the hearing set for April

ı	Case3:09-cv-05386-JCS Document262 Filed04/05/12 Page2 of 2
1	The Court, having considered the Motion and Cross-Motion, and finding good cause
2	supporting both, finds that the Motion and Cross-Motion should be, and are hereby, GRANTED
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	
5	Dated: April 5, 2012
6	JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge
7	Officed States Wagistrate Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	